

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniria 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/531,005	03/20/2000	Chun-Geun Choi	P56011	6332
7:	590 05/06/2003			
Robert E Bushnell			EXAMINER	
Suite 300 1522 Washington, D	K Street NW C 20005-1202	JOSEPH, THOMAS J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	~
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/531,005	CHOI ET AL.				
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J Joseph	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai SFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) 🖾 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	ne Kincau	—•			
10.□ Other:	KHIST SUPERVISOR	TINE KINCAID Y PATENT EXAMINER DGY CENTER 2100				
	-					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/531,005

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Items including "In not, a return to step S320 is executed" (page 7, lines 7 - 10) as cited by the Applicant in the specification and "menu items selected by the user in response to selection therof by the user" as cited in claim 8 require further consideration. Further, for the purpose of proper form, "In not, a return to step S320 is executed" as cited by the Applicant should read, "I not, a return to step S320 is executed."

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kurtencech teaches a TV display, memory unit, selecting means, generating means, control means, receiving means, reading means, and sending means (fig. 2; fig. 6, #114, #110, #112)